

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In response to the Office Action dated March 21, 2005, please consider the following remarks.

In the Office Action issued March 21, claims 1-3, 5, 6, 8-16, 18, 19, 21-23, 25, 26, 28-30, 32, 33, 92-94, 96, 97, 99-107, 109, 110, 112-114, 116, 117, 119-121, 123, 124, 183-185, 187, 188, 190-198 200, 201, 203-205, 207, 208, 210-212, 214 and 215 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Drexler (US Patent Application No. 2002/0046248 A1). Claims 4, 7, 17, 20, 24, 27, 31, 34, 95, 98, 108, 111, 115, 118, 122, 125, 186, 199, 202, 206, 209, 213 and 216 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Drexler (US Patent Application No. 2002/0046248 A1) in view of Narukar et al. (US Patent 6,339,795). The examiner objected to the disclosure because of informalities on Pages 2 and 15.

The applicant corrected the informalities as described above.

Claims 1-34, 92-125, and 183-216 are now pending in this application.

The applicant respectfully submits that the present invention, according to claims 1-3, 5, 6, 8-16, 18, 19, 21-23, 25, 26, 28-30, 32, 33, 92-94, 96, 97, 99-107, 109, 110, 112-114, 116, 117, 119-121, 123, 124, 183-185, 187, 188, 190-198 200, 201, 203-205, 207, 208, 210-212, 214 and 215 is not anticipated by Drexler. Drexler discloses the collection of data through the receipt of an email message. Drexler's method begins upon the receipt of an email message.

By contrast, the present invention, for example, according to claim 1, requires generating an email message including the data entry form, transmitting the email message, and receiving an email message including the requested data. Since Drexler only teaches receipt of an email message, and does not disclose or suggest generating a data entry form or generating an email message including the data entry form, Drexler does not disclose or suggest these required elements of the present invention. See Drexler Figures 1 and 2.

Further, Drexler discloses the use of a graphical user interface for the association of email messages to databases.

By contrast, the present invention, for example, according to claim 1, requires building a data entry form for entering requested data. Since Drexler only discloses an interface for the management of received data, and does not disclose or suggest building a data entry form and transmitting an email message including the data entry form; Drexler provides no disclosure or suggestion of this required element of the present invention.

Thus, the present invention, according to claim 1, and according to claims 92 and 183, which are similar to claim 1, and according to claims 2-20, 93-111 and 184-202, which depend therefrom, is not anticipated by Drexler.

Further, Drexler discloses receiving data in an email and parsing the data.

By contrast, the present invention, for example, according to claim 21, requires receiving an email message including a data entry form requesting data. The

present invention further requires extracting the data entry form from the email message and interactively entering the requested data into the data entry form. The present invention also requires transmitting an email message including the entered data. Since Drexler only discloses receipt of email, and does not disclose or suggest receiving an email message including a data entry form requesting data, extracting the data entry form from the email message, interactively entering the requested data into the data entry form, and transmitting an email message including the entered data; Drexler provides no disclosure or suggestion of these required elements of the present invention.

Thus, the present invention, according to claim 21, and according to claims 112 and 203, which are similar to claim 21, and according to claims 22-34, 113-125 and 204-216, which depend therefrom, is not anticipated by Drexler.

The applicant respectfully submits that the present invention, according to claims 4, 7, 17, 20, 24, 27, 31, 34, 95, 98, 108, 111, 115, 118, 122, 125, 186, 199, 202, 206, 209, 213 and 216 is not unpatentable over Drexler in view of Narukar because even if Drexler and Narukar were combined as suggested by the Examiner, the result would not be the present invention as claimed. As described above, Drexler does not disclose or suggest generating an email message including the data entry form, transmitting the email message, and receiving an email message including the requested data. Nor does Drexler disclose or suggest receiving an email message including a data entry form requesting data, extracting the data entry

form from the email message, interactively entering the requested data into the data entry form, and transmitting an email message including the entered data. Likewise, Narukar does not disclose or suggest this subject matter. Therefore, the combination of Drexler and Narukar still does not disclose or suggest these required features of the present invention.


Thus, the present invention, according to claims 4, 7, 17, 20, 24, 27, 31, 34, 95, 98, 108, 111, 115, 118, 122, 125, 186, 199, 202, 206, 209, 213 and 216 is not unpatentable over Drexler in view of Narukar.

Each of the claims now pending in this application is believed to be in condition for allowance. Accordingly, favorable reconsideration of this case is respectfully requested.

Additional Fees:

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any insufficient fees or credit any overpayment associated with this application to Deposit Account No. 19-5127 (19985.0002).

Respectfully Submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Michael A. Schwartz", with a stylized flourish at the end.

Michael A. Schwartz
Reg. No. 40,161

Dated: September 21, 2005

Swidler Berlin LLP
3000 K Street, N.W., Suite 300
Washington, D.C. 20007
(202) 424-7500